CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

1800 CENTURY PARK EAST, SECOND FLOOR, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90067

INITIATIVE LEGAL GROUP APC

Case|2:09-cv-07164-RGK-PLA Document 1 Filed 10/01/09 Page 1 of 26 Page ID #:1

TTIR

Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all other members of the public similarly situated, alleges as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. This Court has original jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332. The Court has supplemental jurisdiction over the state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367. Plaintiff alleges, on information and belief, that the aggregate amount in controversy for this class action exceeds five-million dollars (\$5,000,000.00) exclusive of interest and costs, that the class is greater than 100 members, and that any one plaintiff is a citizen of a state different from that of any defendant. *See* Class Action Fairness Act ("CAFA"), 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332(d), 1453.
- 2. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(a) and (b) because Defendants maintain offices, have agents, are licensed to transact and do transact business, in this district.

THE PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff TARA HILL is a resident of Los Angeles County in the State of California.
- 4. Defendant SUNGLASS HUT TRADING, LLC was and is, upon information and belief, a Delaware corporation doing business in California, and at all times hereinafter mentioned, an employer whose employees are engaged throughout this county, the State of California, or the various states of the United States of America.
- 5. Defendant LUXOTTICA RETAIL NORTH AMERICA INC. was and is, upon information and belief, an Ohio Corporation doing business in California, and at all times hereinafter mentioned, an employer whose employees are engaged throughout this county, the State of California, or the various states of the United States of America.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- 6. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that each and all of the acts and omissions alleged herein was performed by, or is attributable to SUNGLASS HUT TRADING, LLC, and/or LUXOTTICA RETAIL NORTH AMERICA INC. (collectively "Defendants"), each acting as the agent for the other, with legal authority to act on the other's behalf. The acts of any and all Defendants were in accordance with, and represent the official policy of, Defendants.
- 7. At all times herein mentioned, Defendants, and each of them, ratified each and every act or omission complained of herein. At all times herein mentioned, Defendants, and each of them, aided and abetted the acts and omissions of each and all the other Defendants in proximately causing the damages herein alleged.
- 8. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that each of said Defendants is in some manner intentionally, negligently, or otherwise responsible for the acts, omissions, occurrences, and transactions alleged herein.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 9. Plaintiff brings this action on her own behalf, as well as on behalf of each and all other persons similarly situated, and thus, seek class certification under Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Rules 23(a), (b)(2), and (b)(3).
- 10. All claims alleged herein arise under California law for which Plaintiff seeks relief authorized by California law.
 - 11. Plaintiff's proposed subclasses consist of and are defined as:
 - a. <u>Unpaid Wages Subclass</u>:

All non-exempt or hourly employees of Defendants at store locations within four years prior to the filing of this complaint until the date of certification.

190067	11
APC	12
GROUP APC OS ANGELES, CALIFORNI	13
7, 15 16 A	14
OND FLOO	15
TVE L EAST, SEC	16
INITIATIVE LEGAL C 1800 CENTURY PARK EAST, SECOND FLOOR, LO	17
	18
	19
	20
	. 21
	22
	23
	24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

25

26

27

28

υ.	Unreimbursed Business Expenses Subclass:
	All non-exempt or hourly employees of Defendants who paid
	for business-related expenses, including expenses for travel
	and mileage, in California within four years prior to the filing
	of this complaint until the date of certification.

- Non-Compliant Wage Statement Subclass: c. All non-exempt or hourly employees of Defendants who worked in California and received a wage statement within one year prior to the filing of this complaint until the date of certification.
- Plaintiff reserves the right to establish additional subclasses as 12. appropriate.
- 13. There is a well-defined community of interest in the litigation and the class is readily ascertainable:
 - Numerosity: The members of the class (and each subclass, if a. any) are so numerous that joinder of all members would be unfeasible and impractical. The membership of the entire class is unknown to Plaintiff at this time, however, the class is estimated to be greater than one-hundred (100) individuals and the identity of such membership is readily ascertainable by inspection of Defendants' employment records.
 - Typicality: Plaintiff is qualified to, and will, fairly and b. adequately protect the interests of each class member with whom she has a well- defined community of interest, and Plaintiff's claims (or defenses, if any) are typical of all class members' as demonstrated herein.
 - Adequacy: Plaintiff is qualified to, and will, fairly and c.

adequately, protect the interests of each class member with whom she has a well-defined community of interest and typicality of claims, as demonstrated herein. Plaintiff acknowledges that she has an obligation to make known to the Court any relationship, conflicts or differences with any class member. Plaintiff's attorneys, the proposed class counsel, are versed in the rules governing class action discovery, certification, and settlement. Plaintiff has incurred, and throughout the duration of this action, will continue to incur costs and attorneys' fees that have been, are, and will be necessarily expended for the prosecution of this action for the substantial benefit of each class member.

- d. <u>Superiority</u>: The nature of this action makes the use of class action adjudication superior to other methods. Class action will achieve economies of time, effort and expense as compared with separate lawsuits, and will avoid inconsistent outcomes because the same issues can be adjudicated in the same manner and at the same time for the entire class.
- e. Public Policy Considerations: Employers in the State of California violate employment and labor laws every day. Current employees are often afraid to assert their rights out of fear of direct or indirect retaliation. Former employees are fearful of bringing actions because they believe their former employers might damage their future endeavors through negative references and/or other means. Class actions provide the class members who are not named in the complaint with a type of anonymity that allows for the vindication of their

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

	. 4	. •				
rights at	the same	time as	their	nrivacy	110	nrotected
rigins at	uic sainc	time as	uicii	privacy	10	protected

- There are common questions of law and fact as to the class (and 14. each subclass, if any) that predominate over questions affecting only individual members, including but not limited to:
 - Whether Defendants' failure to pay wages, without abatement a. or reduction, in accordance with the California Labor Code, was willful;
 - Whether Defendants deprived Plaintiff and class members of b. meal periods or required Plaintiff and class members to work during meal periods without compensation;
 - Whether Defendants deprived Plaintiff and class members of c. rest periods or required Plaintiff and class members to work during rest periods without compensation;
 - Whether Defendants failed to pay all wages earned by d. Plaintiff and class members;
 - Whether Defendants failed to indemnify and/or reimburse e. Plaintiff and class members for necessary and required business-related expenditures and/or losses incurred by them in the scope of their employment;
 - Whether Defendants failed to timely pay all wages due to f. Plaintiff and class members upon their discharge or resignation;
 - Whether Defendants complied with wage reporting as g. required by the California Labor Code, including but not limited to section 226;
 - h. Whether Defendants' conduct was willful or reckless;
 - Whether Defendants engaged in unfair business practices in i.

1	violation of California Business & Professions Code sections
2	17200, et seq.; and

j. The appropriate amount of damages, restitution, or monetary penalties resulting from Defendants' violations of California law.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 15. At all times set forth, Defendants employed Plaintiff and other persons as non-exempt or hourly paid employees.
- 16. Defendants employed Plaintiff TARA HILL as a non-exempt or hourly "Store Manager" from about June 2007 to about March 2009 at Defendants' Northridge business location in Los Angeles County, California.
- 17. Defendants continue to employ non-exempt or hourly employees within California.
- 18. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that at all times herein mentioned, Defendants were advised by skilled lawyers and other professionals, employees and advisors knowledgeable about California labor and wage law, employment and personnel practices, and about the requirements of California law.
- 19. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that Defendants knew or should have known that Plaintiff and class members were entitled to receive all meal periods or payment of one additional hour of pay at Plaintiff's and class members' regular rate of pay when they did not receive a timely uninterrupted meal period.
- 20. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that

 Defendants knew or should have known that Plaintiff and class members were
 entitled to receive all rest periods or payment of one additional hour of pay at
 Plaintiff's and class members' regular rate of pay when a rest period was missed.

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- 21. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that Defendants knew or should have known that Plaintiff and class members were entitled to receive full reimbursement for all business-related expenses and costs they incurred during the course and scope of their employment, and that they did not receive full reimbursement of applicable business-related expenses and costs they incurred.
- 22. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that Defendants knew or should have known that Plaintiff and class members were entitled to receive all the wages owed to them upon discharge.
- 23. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges that Defendants knew or should have known that Plaintiff and class members were entitled to receive complete and accurate wage statements in accordance with California law.
- 24. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that at all times herein mentioned, Defendants knew or should have known that they had a duty to compensate Plaintiff and class members, and that Defendants had the financial ability to pay such compensation, but willfully, knowingly and intentionally failed to do so, and falsely represented to Plaintiff and other class members that they were properly denied wages, all in order to increase Defendants' profits.
- 25. California Labor Code section 218-states that nothing in Article 1 of the Labor Code shall limit the right of any wage claimant to "sue directly... for any wages or penalty due to him [or her] under this article."

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Violation of California Labor Code §§ 226.7 and 512(a) (Against All Defendants)

Plaintiff incorporates by reference and re-alleges as if fully stated 26.

herein the material allegations set out in paragraphs 1 through 25.

- 27. At all relevant times, the applicable IWC Wage Order and California Labor Code sections 226.7 and 512(a) were applicable to Plaintiff's and class members' employment by Defendants.
- 28. At all relevant times, California Labor Code section 226.7 provides that no employer shall require an employee to work during any meal period mandated by an applicable order of the California IWC.
- 29. At all relevant times, the applicable IWC Wage Order and California Labor Code section 512(a) provide that an employer may not require, cause or permit an employee to work for a period of more than five (5) hours per day without providing the employee with an uninterrupted meal period of not less than thirty (30) minutes, except that if the total work period per day of the employee is not more than six (6) hours, the meal period may be waived by mutual consent of both the employer and the employee.
- 30. At all relevant times, the applicable IWC Wage Order and California Labor Code section 512(a) further provide that an employer may not require, cause or permit an employee to work for a period of more than ten (10) hours per day without providing the employee with a second uninterrupted meal period of not less than thirty (30) minutes, except that if the total hours worked is not more than twelve (12) hours, the second meal period may be waived by mutual consent of the employer and the employee only if the first meal period was not waived.
- 31. During the relevant time period, Plaintiff and class members who were scheduled to work for a period of time no longer than six (6) hours, and who did not waive their legally-mandated meal periods by mutual consent, were required to work for periods longer than five (5) hours without an uninterrupted meal period of not less than thirty (30) minutes.

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 32. During the relevant time period, Plaintiff and class members who were scheduled to work for a period of time in excess of six (6) hours were required to work for periods longer than five (5) hours without an uninterrupted meal period of not less than thirty (30) minutes.
- 33. During the relevant time period, Plaintiff and class members who were scheduled to work in excess of ten (10) hours but no longer than twelve (12) hours, and who did not waive their legally-mandated meal periods by mutual consent were required to work in excess of ten (10) hours without receiving a second uninterrupted meal period of not less than thirty (30) minutes.
- During the relevant time period, Plaintiff and class members who 34. were scheduled to work for a period of time in excess of twelve (12) hours were required to work for periods longer than ten (10) hours without a second uninterrupted meal period of not less than thirty (30) minutes.
- 35. During the relevant time period, Defendants willfully required Plaintiff and class members to work during meal periods and failed to compensate Plaintiff and class members for work performed during meal periods.
- 36. During the relevant time period, Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff and class members the full meal period premium due pursuant to California Labor Code section 226.7.
- Defendants' conduct violates the applicable IWC Wage Orders and 37. California Labor Code sections 226.7 and 512(a).
- 38. Pursuant to the applicable IWC Wage Order and California Labor Code section 226.7(b), Plaintiff and class members are entitled to recover from Defendants one additional hour of pay at the employees' regular hourly rate of compensation for each work day that the meal period was not provided.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

Violation of California Labor Code § 226.7

(Against All Defendants)

- 39. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and re-alleges as if fully stated herein the material allegations set out in paragraphs 1 through 38.
- 40. At all relevant times, the applicable IWC Wage Order and California Labor Code section 226.7 were applicable to Plaintiff's and class members' employment by Defendants.
- 41. At all relevant times, California Labor Code section 226.7 provides that no employer shall require an employee to work during any rest period mandated by an applicable order of the California IWC.
- 42. At all relevant times, the applicable IWC Wage Order provides that "[e]very employer shall authorize and permit all employees to take rest periods, which insofar as practicable shall be in the middle of each work period" and that the "rest period time shall be based on the total hours worked daily at the rate of ten (10) minutes net rest time per four (4) hours or major fraction thereof" unless the total daily work time is less than three and one-half (3½) hours.
- 43. During the relevant time period, Defendants required Plaintiff and class members to work four (4) or more hours without authorizing or permitting a ten (10) minute rest period per each four (4) hour period worked.
- 44. During the relevant time period, Defendants willfully required Plaintiff and class members to work during rest periods and failed to compensate Plaintiff and class members for work performed during rest periods.
- 45. During the relevant time period, Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff and class members the full rest period premium due pursuant to California Labor Code section 226.7.
 - 46. Defendants' conduct violates the applicable IWC Wage Orders and

California Labor Code section 226.7.

47. Pursuant to the applicable IWC Wage Order and California Labor Code section 226.7(b), Plaintiff and class members are entitled to recover from Defendants one additional hour of pay at the employee's regular hourly rate of compensation for each work day that the rest period was not provided.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

Violation of California Labor Code §§ 2800 and 2802 (Against All Defendants)

- 48. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and re-alleges as if fully stated herein the material allegations set out in paragraphs 1 through 47.
- 49. At all relevant times, California Labor Code sections 2800 and 2802 provide that an employer must reimburse employees for all necessary expenditures.
- 50. Plaintiff and class members incurred necessary business-related expenses and costs that were not fully reimbursed by Defendants, including and without limitation, travel costs, including mileage expenses and gasoline expenses that resulted from their employment with Defendants. Specifically, Defendants had, and continue to have, a policy and practice of requiring employees, including Plaintiff and class members, to pay for travel costs from their own funds. Defendants had, and continue to have, a policy of not reimbursing employees, including Plaintiff and class members, for said business-related expenses and costs.
- 51. Defendants have intentionally and willfully failed to fully reimburse Plaintiff and class members for necessary business-related expenses and costs.
- 52. Plaintiff and class members are entitled to recover from Defendants their business-related expenses incurred during the course and scope of their employment, plus interest, pursuant to California Labor Code sections 2800 and

| 2802.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Violation of California Labor Code §§ 201 and 202 (Against All Defendants)

- 53. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and re-alleges as if fully stated herein the material allegations set out in paragraphs 1 through 52.
- 54. At all relevant times, California Labor Code sections 201 and 202 provide that if an employer discharges an employee, the wages earned and unpaid at the time of discharge are due and payable immediately, and that if an employee voluntarily leaves his or her employment, his or her wages shall become due and payable not later than seventy-two (72) hours thereafter, unless the employee has given seventy-two (72) hours previous notice of his or her intention to quit, in which case the employee is entitled to his or her wages at the time of quitting.
- 55. During the relevant time period, Defendants willfully failed to pay Plaintiff and class members who are no longer employed by Defendants their wages, earned and unpaid, either at the time of discharge, or within seventy-two (72) hours of their leaving Defendants' employ.
- 56. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff and those class members who are no longer employed by Defendants their wages earned and unpaid at the time of discharge, or within seventy-two (72) hours of their leaving Defendants' employ, is in violation of California Labor Code sections 201 and 202.
- 57. California Labor Code section 203 provides that if an employer willfully fails to pay wages owed, in accordance with sections 201 and 202, then the wages of the employee shall continue as a penalty from the due date, and at the same rate until paid or until an action is commenced; but the wages shall not continue for more than thirty (30) days.

58. Plaintiff and class members are entitled to recover from Defendants the statutory penalty wages for each day they were not paid, up to a thirty (30) day maximum pursuant to California Labor Code section 203.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Violation of California Labor Code § 204 (Against All Defendants)

- 59. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and re-allege as if fully stated herein the material allegations set out in paragraphs 1 through 58.
- 60. At all relevant times, California Labor Code section 204 provides that all wages earned by any person in any employment between the first and the fifteenth days, inclusive, of any calendar month, other than those wages due upon termination of an employee, are due and payable between the sixteenth and the twenty-sixth day of the month during which the labor was performed.
- 61. At all relevant times, California Labor Code section 204 provides that all wages earned by any person in any employment between the sixteenth and the last day, inclusive, of any calendar month, other than those wages due upon termination of an employee, are due and payable between the 1st and the 10th day of the following month.
- 62. At all relevant times, California Labor Code section 204 provides that all wages earned for labor in excess of the normal work period shall be paid no later than the payday for the next regular payroll period.
- 63. During the relevant time period, Defendants willfully failed to pay Plaintiff and class members all wages due to them, within any time period permissible by California Labor Code section 204.
- 64. Plaintiff and class members are entitled to recover all remedies available for violations of California Labor Code section 204.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Violation of California Labor Code § 226(a)

(Against All Defendants)

- 65. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and re-alleges as if fully stated herein the material allegations set out in paragraphs 1 through 64.
- 66. At all material times set forth herein, California Labor Code section 226(a) provides that every employer shall furnish each of his or her employees an accurate itemized wage statement in writing showing nine pieces of information, including all applicable hourly rates.
- 67. Defendants have intentionally and willfully failed to provide employees with complete and accurate wage statements. The deficiencies include, among other things, the failure to list all applicable hourly rates.
- 68. As a result of Defendants' violation of California Labor Code section 226(a), Plaintiff and class members have suffered injury and damage to their statutorily-protected rights.
- 69. Specifically, Plaintiff and class members have been injured by Defendants' intentional violation of California Labor Code section 226(a) because they were denied both their legal right to receive, and their protected interest in receiving, accurate, itemized wage statements under California Labor Code section 226(a).
- -70. Plaintiff and class members are entitled to recover from Defendants the greater of their actual damages caused by Defendants' failure to comply with California Labor Code section 226(a), or an aggregate penalty not exceeding four thousand dollars per employee.
- 71. Plaintiff and class members are also entitled to injunctive relief to ensure compliance with this section, pursuant to California Labor Code section 226(g).

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Violation of California Business & Professions Code §§ 17200, et seq. (Against All Defendants)

- 72. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and re-alleges as if fully stated herein the material allegations set out in paragraphs 1 through 71.
- 73. Defendants' conduct, as alleged herein, has been, and continues to be, unfair, unlawful, and harmful to Plaintiff, other class members, and to the general public. Plaintiff seeks to enforce important rights affecting the public interest within the meaning of Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5.
- 74. Defendants' activities, as alleged herein, are violations of California law, and constitute unlawful business acts and practices in violation of California Business & Professions Code sections 17200, *et seq*.
- 75. A violation of California Business & Professions Code sections 17200, *et seq.* may be predicated on the violation of any state or federal law. In this instant case, Defendants' policies and practices of requiring non-exempt or hourly paid employees, including Plaintiff and class members, to work through their meal and rest periods without paying them proper compensation violate California Labor Code sections 226.7 and 512(a). Defendants' policies and practices of failing to timely pay wages to Plaintiff and class members violate California Labor Code sections 201, 202 and 204. Moreover, Defendants' policies and practices of not reimbursing its employees, including Plaintiff and class members, for business-related expenses and costs violate California Labor Code sections 2800 and 2802.
- 76. Plaintiff and putative class members have been personally injured by Defendants' unlawful business acts and practices as alleged herein, including but not necessarily limited to the loss of money or property.
 - 77. Pursuant to California Business & Professions Code sections 17200,

4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

1

2

3

et seq., Plaintiff and putative class members are entitled to restitution of the wages withheld and retained by Defendants during a period that commences four years prior to the filing of this complaint; a permanent injunction requiring Defendants to pay all outstanding wages due to Plaintiff and class members; an award of attorneys' fees pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5 and other applicable laws; and an award of costs.

REQUEST FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff requests a trial by jury.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Plaintiff, and on behalf of all others similarly situated, prays for relief and judgment against Defendants, jointly and severally, as follows:

Class Certification

- 1. That this action be certified as a class action;
- 2. That Plaintiff be appointed as the representative of the Class; and
- 3. That counsel for Plaintiff be appointed as Class Counsel.

As to the First Cause of Action

- 4. That the Court declare, adjudge and decree that Defendants violated California Labor Code sections 226.7 and 512 and applicable IWC Wage Orders by willfully failing to provide all meal periods (including second meal periods) to Plaintiff and class members;
- 5. That the Court make an award to the Plaintiff and class members of one (1) hour of pay at each employee's regular rate of compensation for each workday that a meal period was not provided;
- 6. For all actual, consequential, and incidental losses and damages, according to proof;
 - 7. For premiums pursuant to California Labor Code section 226.7(b);
 - 8. For pre-judgment interest on any unpaid wages from the date such

9. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem equitable and appropriate.

As to the Second Cause of Action

- 10. That the Court declare, adjudge and decree that Defendants violated California Labor Code section 226.7 and applicable IWC Wage Orders by willfully failing to provide all rest periods to Plaintiff and class members;
- 11. That the Court make an award to the Plaintiff and class members of one (l) hour of pay at each employee's regular rate of compensation for each workday that a rest period was not provided;
- 12. For all actual, consequential, and incidental losses and damages, according to proof;
 - 13. For premiums pursuant to California Labor Code section 226.7(b);
- 14. For pre-judgment interest on any unpaid wages from the date such amounts were due; and
- 15. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem equitable and appropriate.

As to the Third Cause of Action

- 16. That the Court declare, adjudge and decree that Defendants violated California Labor Code sections 2800 and 2802 by willfully failing to pay all business-related expenses owed to Plaintiff and class members;
- 17. For unpaid wages and such general and special damages as may be appropriate;
- 18. For pre-judgment interest on any unpaid wages from the date such amounts were due;
- 19. For all actual, consequential and incidental losses and damages, according to proof; and

20. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem equitable and appropriate.

As to the Fourth Cause of Action

- 21. That the Court declare, adjudge and decree that Defendants violated California Labor Code sections 201, 202, and 203 by willfully failing to pay all compensation owed at the time of termination of the employment of Plaintiff and other class members no longer employed by Defendants;
- 22. For all actual, consequential and incidental losses and damages, according to proof;
- 23. For statutory wage penalties pursuant to California Labor Code section 203 for Plaintiff and all other class members who have left Defendants' employ;
- 24. For pre-judgment interest on any unpaid wages from the date such amounts were due; and
- 25. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem equitable and appropriate.

As to the Fifth Cause of Action

- 26. That the Court declare, adjudge and decree that Defendants violated California Labor Code section 204 by willfully failing to pay all compensation owed at the time required by California Labor Code section 204, to Plaintiff and class members;
- 27. For all actual, consequential and incidental losses and damages, according to proof;
- 28. For pre-judgment interest on any untimely paid compensation, from the date such amounts were due; and
- 29. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem equitable and appropriate.

As to the Sixth Cause of Action

- 30. That the Court declare, adjudge and decree that Defendants violated the record keeping provisions of California Labor Code section 226(a) and applicable IWC Wage Orders as to Plaintiff and class members, and willfully failed to provide accurate itemized wage statements thereto;
- 31. For all actual, consequential and incidental losses and damages, according to proof;
- 32. For statutory penalties pursuant to California Labor Code section 226(e);
- 33. For injunctive relief to ensure compliance with this section, pursuant to California Labor Code section 226(g); and
- 34. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem equitable and appropriate.

As to the Seventh Cause of Action

- 35. That the Court declare, adjudge and decree that Defendants violated California Business and Professions Code sections 17200, *et seq.* by failing to provide all meal and rest periods to Plaintiff and class members, failing to pay for all missed meal and rest periods to Plaintiff and class members, failing to reimburse Plaintiff and class members for all business-related expenses, and failing to pay Plaintiff's and class members' wages timely as required by California Labor Code sections 201, 202 and 204;
- 36. For restitution of unpaid wages to Plaintiff and all class members and prejudgment interest from the day such amounts were due and payable;
- 37. For the appointment of a receiver to receive, manage and distribute any and all funds disgorged from Defendants and determined to have been wrongfully acquired by Defendants as a result of violations of California Business & Professions Code sections 17200 *et seq.*;

- 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 1800 CENTURY PARK EAST, SECOND FLOOR, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90067 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28
- For reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit incurred herein 38. pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5;
- 39. For injunctive relief to ensure compliance with this section, pursuant to California Business & Professions Code sections 17200, et seq.; and
- For such other and further relief as the Court may deem equitable 40. and appropriate.

Dated: October 1, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

Initiative Legal Group, APC

Jennifer Grock

Attorneys for Plaintiff Tara Hill

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to District Judge R.	Gary Klausner and the assigned
discovery Magistrate Judge is Paul L. Abrams.	

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

CV09- 7164 RGK (PLAx)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central

[X]	(] Western Division 312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8 Los Angeles, CA 90012	Southern Division 411 West Fourth St., Rm. 1-053 Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516	L	Eastern Division 3470 Twelfth St., Rm. 134 Riverside, CA 92501		
Sut	Subsequent documents must be filed at the following location:					
A copy of this notice must be served with the summons and complaint on all defendants (if a removal action is filed, a copy of this notice must be served on all plaintiffs).						
		NOTICE TO COUNSEL				
=	=======================================	==========	==	========		
I	All discovery related motions shou	ald be noticed on the calendar of	of the	e Magistrate Judge		
	District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related motions.					

Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.

Case 2:09-cv-07164-RGK-PLA Document 1	Filed 10/01/09 Page 23 of 26 Page ID #:23
Name & Address: Gene Williams (SBN 211390) Dina S. Livhits (SBN 245646) Initiative Legal Group APC 1800 Century Park East, 2nd Flr., LA, CA 90067 (See attached ATTACHMENT TO SUMMONS)	
	DISTRICT COURT CT OF CALIFORNIA
TARA HILL, individually, and on behalf of other members of the general public similarly situated, PLAINT PF(5) V.	CV09-7164 RUN PLAX
SUNGLASS HUT TRADING, LLC, a Delaware Corporation; LUXOTTICA RETAIL NORTH AMERICA INC., an Ohio Corporation DEFENDANT(S).	SUMMONS
TO: DEFENDANT(S):	
must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached \(\mathbb{M} \) counterclaim \(\mathbb{C} \) cross-claim or a motion under Rule 1	2 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer itiative Legal Group APC, whose address is ornia 90067 If you fail to do so,
	Clerk, U.S. District Court
Dated: • 1 0CT 2009	By: SHEA BOURGEOIS Deputy Clerk (Seal of the Course)
[Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United State 60 days by Rule 12(a)(3)].	s agency, or is an officer or employee of the United States. Allowed
CV-01A (12/07) SUM	MONS

ATTACHMENT TO CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS AND CLASS MEMBERS:

Gene Williams (SBN 211390) GWilliams@InitiativeLegal.com Dina S. Livhits (SBN 245646) DLivhits@InitiativeLegal.com Jennifer Grock (SBN 245671) JGrock@InitiativeLegal.com

Initiative Legal Group, APC 1800 Century Park East, 2nd Floor Los Angeles, California 90067 Telephone: (310) 556-5637 Facsimile: (310) 861-9051

ATTACHMENT TO SUMMONS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check box if you are representing yourself □) TARA HILL, individually, and on behalf of other members of the general public similarly situated,				DEFENDANTS SUNGLASS HUT TRADING, LLC, a Delaware Corporation; LUXOTTICA RETAIL NORTH AMERICA INC., an Ohio Corporation							
(b) Attorneys (Firm Name, A yourself, provide same.)	ddress and Telephone Number. If	you are	representing A	attorneys	(If Known)						
Initiative Legal Group AI 1800 Century Park East, ((See attached ATTACHN	2nd Floor, Los Angeles, CA 9006	-	310-556-5637								
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTIO	N (Place an X in one box only.)							or Diversity Cases	Only		
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party	') 		all controls			PTF □ 4	DEF			
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendar	of Parties in Item III)	enship	Citizen of Anothe	r State		□ 2	□ 2	Incorporated and of Business in Ar		ce □ 5	₫ 5
			Citizen or Subject	of a Fore	eign Country	□ 3	□ 3	Foreign Nation		□6	□6
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in or	ne box only.)										
☐ 1 Original ☐ 2 Remov Proceeding State C	ed from 3 Remanded from ourt Appellate Court		einstated or 5 copened	Transferr	ed from anothe	r distri	ct (spe	ecify): 🗆 6 Multi Distr Litigs	ict Jı	ppeal to dge fron lagistrate	n
V. REQUESTED IN COMPL	AINT: JURY DEMAND:	Yes 🗆	No (Check 'Yes'	only if de	manded in com	plaint.)				
CLASS ACTION under F.R.C	C.P. 23: Yes □ No		МM	ONEY D	EMANDED I	N CO	MPLA	INT: \$ 5,000,000	0.00		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Cit	e the U.S. Civil Statute under whi	ch you a	are filing and write	a brief st	atement of cau	se. Do	not ci	te iurisdictional sta	atutes unless	liversity.	
	ESS ACT ("CAFA") 28 U.S.C §1:							-		,	,
VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Place	ce an X in one box only.)										
SOTHER STATUTES	CONTRACT	. Stake	TORTS	37 (3.7	TORTS	12.19	(i	RISONER	0087 70 W	ABOR	
☐ 400 State Reapportionment	□ 110 Insurance	PER	SONAL INJURY	·* 18	PERSONAL	. 35 A		11. 12. S.	□ 710 Fair	3	andards
□ 410 Antitrust	□ 120 Marine		Airplane		PROPERTY][, , -	Motions to	Act	2400.00	
☐ 430 Banks and Banking	☐ 130 Miller Act	□ 315	Airplane Product		Other Fraud				☐ 720 Labor	_	
☐ 450 Commerce/ICC	☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	□ 320	Liability Assault, Libel &		Truth in Lend	~		Habeas Corpus		tions	
Rates/etc.	☐ 150 Recovery of	320	Slander	□ 380	Other Person			General	□ 730 Labo	_	
☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced	Overpayment & Enforcement of	□ 330	Fed. Employers'	□ 385	Property Dan Property Dan			Death Penalty		orting & losure A	at
and Corrupt	Judgment	1	Liability	1 363	Product Liab	~	1 240	Other	□ 740 Rail		
Organizations	☐ 151 Medicare Act			B	ANKRUPTCY		3550		790 Othe		7101
☐ 480 Consumer Credit	□ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	345	Marine Product Liability	□ 422	Appeal 28 US			Prison Condition		ation	
☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV	Student Loan (Excl.	□ 350	Motor Vehicle	ı	158	3			□ 791 Emp	l. Ret. In	c.
□ 810 Selective Service	Veterans)		Motor Vehicle	□ 423	Withdrawal 2			PENALTY		гity Act	este animonin
□ 850 Securities/Commodities/			Product Liability	ينغ يسدر . ا	USC 157			Agriculture	PROPE	- No. 10 45 45 45	HIS
Exchange ☐ 875 Customer Challenge 12	Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	□ 360			IVIL RIGHTS Voting	3-377 L	1 020	Other Food & Drug	□ 820 Copy □ 830 Pate	_	
USC 3410	☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits	□ 362	Injury Personal Injury-		Employment	ĺ٦	1625	Drug Related	□ 840 Trad		
☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions	☐ 190 Other Contract	302	Med Malpractice		Housing/Acc		- 0-0	Seizure of	SOCIAL	CONTRACTOR DESCRIPTION	ITY
☐ 891 Agricultural Act	□ 195 Contract Product	□ 365	Personal Injury-		mmodations	- 1		Property 21 USC	□861 HIA		
☐ 892 Economic Stabilization	Liability		Product Liability	,	Welfare	.		881	□ 862 Blac		
Act	☐ 196 Franchise	□ 368	Asbestos Personal	□ 445	American wit			Liquor Laws	□ 863 DIW		V
☐ 893 Environmental Matters ☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act	REAL PROPERTY	2	Injury Product Liability		Disabilities -			R.R. & Truck	(405		3.71
☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act	☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure	TN	MMIGRATION	d □ 446	Employment	ր Ի		Airline Regs Occupational	□ 864 SSII □ 865 RSI		V I
□ 900 Appeal of Fee Determi-	☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment		Naturalization	``	Disabilities -		_ 500	Safety /Health	FEDERA		UITS
nation Under Equal	240 Torts to Land		Application		Other		3 690	Other	□ 870 Taxe		
Access to Justice	☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	□ 463	Habeas Corpus-	□ 440	Other Civil					efendant	
☐ 950 Constitutionality of	☐ 290 All Other Real Property	D 466	Alien Detainee		Rights				□ 871 IRS-		rty 26
State Statutes		□ 465	Other Immigration Actions	1		**	TG. 89	00 -	#SC	7609	
			Actions		. •		V	114-1		4	
						-	- 12	\$0			

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Case Number: ______

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII(a). IDENTICAL CASES: Has If yes, list case number(s):	this action been pre	viously filed in this court a	nd dismissed, remanded or closed? ☑ No ☐ Yes				
VIII(b). RELATED CASES: Have If yes, list case number(s):	any cases been prev	viously filed in this court th	at are related to the present case? ♥ No □ Yes				
□ C. F	Arise from the same Call for determination For other reasons wo	or closely related transaction of the same or substantial buld entail substantial dupli	ons, happenings, or events; or ally related or similar questions of law and fact; or dication of labor if heard by different judges; or t, and one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.				
IX. VENUE: (When completing the	following information	on, use an additional sheet	if necessary.)				
(a) List the County in this District; C Check here if the government, its	California County or	utside of this District; State	if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resides. f this box is checked, go to item (b).				
County in this District:*		,	California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country				
Los Angeles County							
			if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides. If this box is checked, go to item (c).				
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country				
			SUNGLASS HUT TRADING, LLC, a Delaware Corporation; LUXOTTICA RETAIL NORTH AMERICA INC., an Ohio Corporation				
(c) List the County in this District; C	_		if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose.				
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country				
Los Angeles County							
* Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernar Note: In land condemnation cases, us							
X. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (OR PRO PER):	em	Date October 1, 2009				
or other papers as required by law	 This form, approv 	ed by the Judicial Conferen	ormation contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings are of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed ating the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)				
Key to Statistical codes relating to So	cial Security Cases:						
Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement	of Cause of Action				
861	НІА	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))					
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)					
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))					
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))					
864	SSID	All claims for supplement Act, as amended.	ntal security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security				
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42					

CV-71 (05/08) CIVIL COVER SHEET Page 2 of 2